arXiv:1502.02097v1 [math.AP] 7 Feb 2015

HARDY-LITTLEWOOD-SOBOLEV INEQUALITIES ON COMPACT RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS AND APPLICATIONS

YAZHOU HAN AND MEIJUN ZHU

Abstract. In this paper we extend Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequalities on compact Riemannian manifolds for dimension $n \neq 2$. As one application, we solve a generalized Yamabe problem on locally conforamlly flat manifolds via a new designed energy functional and a new variational approach. Even for the classic Yamabe problem on locally conformally flat manifolds, our approach provides a new and relatively simpler solution.

1. Introduction

Curvature equations involving high order derivatives (including $Q-$ curvature equations) and fully nonlinear curvature equations (such as σ_k operators of Schouten tensor) have been extensively studied in the past decade, and have broad applications in the study of global geometry and topology. See, e.g. [4], [14], [1], [28], [13], [15], [7] and references therein. All these differential operators, such as Paneitz operators with even powers and σ_k operators of Schouten tensor, are introduced as a locally defined operators.

Recently, there have been some interesting results concerning the fractional Yamabe problem, as well as the fractional prescribing curvature problem, see, e.g. [12],[10], [11], [22]-[25] and references therein. In these studies the notion for the globally defined fractional Paneitz operator P_α (via an integral operator), which is introduced in [12], is used and has a direct link to singular integral operators (see Caffarelli and Silvestre [3] for a new view point of fractional Laplacian operator).

Motivated by the globally defined fractional Paneitz operator, as well as the study of sharp Sobolev inequality with negative power by W. Chen, et al [5], Yang and Zhu [34], Hang and Yang [18], Ni and Zhu [31]-[33], Hang [16], etc. we started to investigate the general extension of Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev (HLS) inequality. In Dou and Zhu [8], we established the HLS inequality on the upper half space, and outline the rough idea on the extension of HLS on general manifolds; In Dou and Zhu [9], a surprising reversed HLS inequality was obtained when the differential order is higher than the dimension. In Zhu [35], a more general prescribing curvature equation on $\mathbb{S}^n$ was introduced and the existence result for antipodally symmetric function was obtained; in particular, the reversed HLS inequality was first used in the study of curvature equations with negative critical Sobolev exponents. In the same paper, a more general Yamabe type problem was also introduced for general compact Riemannian manifolds. In this paper we shall extend the classic HLS inequality as well as the reversed HLS inequality on compact Riemannian manifolds and provide solution to the general Yamabe problem on locally conformally flat manifolds.

Let (M^n, g) be a given compact Riemmanian manifold, $\alpha(\neq n)$ be a positive parameter and $|x-y|_g$ represent the distance from x to y on M^n under metric g. Introduce the following integral operator:

$$I_\alpha f(x) = \int_{M^n} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|_g^{n-\alpha}} dV_y,$$

We first have the following HLS inequality on (M^n, g) for $\alpha < n$:

Proposition 1.1. *Assume that $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $1 < p < \frac{n}{\alpha}$ and q is given by*

$$\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{\alpha}{n}, \tag{1.1}$$

then there is an optimal positive constant $C(\alpha, p, M^n, g)$, such that

$$||I_\alpha f||_{L^q(M^n)} \leq C(\alpha, p, M^n, g)||f||_{L^p(M^n)}. \tag{1.2}$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$. Moreover, for $1 \leq r < q$, operator $I_\alpha : L^p(M^n) \to L^r(M^n)$ is a compact embedding.

Proposition 1.1 seems to be a known fact. Since we can not find the proof in literatures, we will outline the proof in this paper.

For $\alpha > n$, we have the following reversed HLS inequality for nonnegative functions.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $\alpha > n \geq 1$, $1 > p > \frac{n}{\alpha}$ and q is given by* (1.1)*, then there is an optimal positive constant $C(\alpha, p, M^n, g)$, such that*

$$||I_\alpha f||_{L^q(M^n)} \geq C(\alpha, p, M^n, g)||f||_{L^p(M^n)}. \tag{1.3}$$

holds for all nonnegative $f \in L^p(M^n)$.

One of the main motivations for obtaining the above embedding theorems comes from the study of curvature equations, including the following generalized Yamabe problem, introduced in Zhu [35]:

For a given compact Riemannian manifold (M^n, g_0) $(n \neq 2)$ with positive scalar curvature and a positive parameter $\alpha \neq n + 2k$ for $k = 0, 1, \cdots$, let $G_x^{g_0}(y) = n(n-2)\omega_n \Gamma_x^{g_0}(y)$, where $\Gamma_x^{g_0}(y)$ is the Green's function with pole at x for the conformal Laplacian operator $-\Delta_{g_0} + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_0}$, ω_n is the volume of the unit ball. In a conformal normal coordinates centered at x, $G_x^{g_0}(y) = |y|^{2-n} + A + O(|y|)$. The $\alpha-$ curvature $Q_{\alpha,g}$ under the conformal metric $g = \phi^{\frac{4}{n-\alpha}} g_0$ is defined as a function implicitly given by

$$u(x) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^n} [G_x^{g_0}(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} Q_{\alpha,g}(y) u^{\frac{n+\alpha}{n-\alpha}}(y) dV_{g_0}. \tag{1.4}$$

It is clear that $Q_{\alpha,g}$, up to a constant multiplier, is the classic scalar curvature for $\alpha = 2$.

Let

$$I_{M^n,g,\alpha}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{M}^n} [G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} f(y) dV_g. \tag{1.5}$$

It was showed in [35] that $I_{M^n,g,\alpha}(f)$ has the conformal covariance property. Similar to the Yamabe problem, one may ask [35]: for a given compact Riemannian manifold (M^n, g_0), is there a conformal metric $g = u^{4/(n-\alpha)} g_0$ such that $Q_{\alpha,g} = constant$?

We shall solve this problem on any locally conformally flat manifold with positive scalar curvture, based on the positive mass theorem.*

Theorem 1.3. *For a given compact locally conformally flat manifold* (M^n, g) $(n \neq 2)$ *with positive scalar curvture, there always exists a conformal metric* $g_* = u^{4/(n-\alpha)} g$ *such that* $\alpha-$*curvature* Q_{α, g_*} *is a constant.*

From now on in this paper, we always assume the compact manifold (M^n, g) under consideration has positive scarlar curvature.

The traditional approach to solve the classic Yamabe problem is to seek the minimizer to the Sobolev quotient energy:

$$J_2(u) = \frac{\int_{M^n} |\nabla u|^2 + \frac{n-2}{4(n-1)} R_{g_0} u^2 dV_{g_0}}{\|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n-2)}(M^n)}}.$$

Unfortunately, for fractional order α, such an energy functional is hard to find. To prove the above theorem, we design the following energy functional for positive functions:

$$J_{g,\alpha}(u) := \frac{\int_{M^n} \int_{M^n} u(x) u(y) [G^g_x(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} dV_g(x) dV_g(y)}{\|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}}. \tag{1.6}$$

The above functional was successfully used in [35] to solve a prescribing curvature problem on $\mathbb{S}^n$ with negative exponent (in the case of $\alpha > n$). In this paper, we will show that it can also be used to solve Yamabe type problems.

For $\alpha < n$, we consider the supremum

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) := \sup_{u \in C^0(M^n) \setminus \{o\}, u \geq 0} J_{g,\alpha}(u). \tag{1.7}$$

Similar to the proof of Proposition 1.1, one can show that $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) < \infty$ (see remark 2.2 below). Moreover, it follows from Lieb's classic result [29], that the supremum on the standard sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$ or on flat plane $(\mathbb{R}^n,\ g_E)$ is given by

$$Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) = \pi^{\frac{n-\alpha}{2}} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n+\alpha}{2})} \left\{ \frac{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}{\Gamma(n)} \right\}^{-\frac{\alpha}{n}} \tag{1.8}$$

and the corresponding extremal functions on $(\mathbb{R}^n,\ g_E)$ are $f(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}$ and its conformal eqivalent class:

$$f_{\epsilon, x_0}(x) = \epsilon^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} f(\frac{x - x_0}{\epsilon}) = \left(\frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon^2 + |x - x_0|^2} \right)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \tag{1.9}$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\epsilon > 0$. For convenience, we write $f_\epsilon = f_{\epsilon,0}$ in this paper.

We will first show that $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \geq Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$; and for a locally conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) with positive scalar curvture, the equality holds iff (M^n, g) is conformally equvalent to $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$. As in the study of Yamabe type problem, we will then show that the strict inequality yields the existence of the maximizer, based on a new $\epsilon-$level sharp HLS inequality on manifolds (Proposition 2.5 below). This approach will give a new view point even for the proof of the classic Yamabe problem. We recently learned from Hang and Yang that such approach was also used in their recent work [19] for Q-curvature problem ($\alpha = 4$ in their case).

---

*We need more careful expansion for the Green's function $G^{g_0}_x(y)$ in a normal coordinate in order to work on locally conformally non-flat cases. We thank F. Hang who pointed out this sutble issue to us.

Parallel to the case of $\alpha < n$, for $\alpha > n$, we consider the infimum

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) := \inf_{u \in C^0(M^n) \setminus \{o\}} J_{g,\alpha}(u). \tag{1.10}$$

It follows from Theorem 1.2 that $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) > 0$. And, it follows from the sharp reversed HLS inequality [9] that the infimum on the standard sphere or flat plane is given by (1.8) and the corresponding extremal functions on $(\mathbb{R}^n,\, g_E)$ are given by (1.9). Again, we will first show that $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \le Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$, and for a locally conformally flat manifold (M^n, g) with positive scalar curvture, equality holds iff (M^n, g) is conformally equvalent to $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$. We then show that the strict inequality yields the existence of the minimizer through a new blowup analysis. It is interesting to point out that the local blowup analysis does not work due to the lack of local Sobolev inequality for $\alpha > n$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we deal with the case of $\alpha < n$. Based on the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem, we prove the roughly HLS inequality (1.2) on (M^n, g) and the compactness of embedding for subcritical exponent. We then establish an ϵ-level sharp HLS inequality on any general compact manifold and complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha < n$. In Section 3, we deal with the case of $\alpha > n$. The analog ϵ-level inequality is not known. Instead, a new blow up analysis enables us to show that there is at most one blow up point for a minimizing sequence. Energy condition will be used to eliminate the case of single blow up point for the manifold not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$.

2. CASE OF $\alpha < n$

In this section, we first prove Proposition 1.1. We then analyze the sharp constant and derive Aubin type $\epsilon-$level sharp HLS inequality. Using such a sharp inequality, we finally prove Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha < n$.

2.1. Roughly HLS inequality on Manifolds.

To prove Proposition 1.1, we need the following Young's inequality on manifolds.

Lemma 2.1. *For a given compact manifold (M^n, g), define*

$$g * h(x) = \int_{M^n} g(y) h(|y - x|_g) dV_y.$$

There is a constant $C > 0$, such that

$$||g * h||_{L^r} \le C ||g||_{L^q} \cdot ||h||_{L^p},$$

where $p, q, r \in (1, \infty)$ and satisfy

$$1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}.$$

The proof is similar to the classic Young inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$. See, e.g. Lieb and Loss [30]. It is worthy of pointing out that $g * h(x)$ may not equal to $h * g(x)$ for $x \in M^n$.

Proof of Proposition 1.1 The proof is quite standard. Similar proof appeared, e.g. in Hang, Yan and Wang [17] (proof of Proposition 2.1 there). To prove (1.2), we only need to show that there is a constant $C > 0$, such that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$m\{x \in M^n \ : \ |I_\alpha f| > \lambda\} \le C\big(\frac{||f||_{L^p}}{\lambda}\big)^q. \tag{2.1}$$

Inequality (1.2) follows from the above inequality via the classical Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem.

For any $\gamma > 0$, define

$$I^1_\alpha f(x) = \int_{|y-x|_g \le \gamma} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|_g^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$

and

$$I^2_\alpha f(x) = \int_{|y-x|_g > \gamma} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|_g^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

Thus, for any $\tau > 0$,

$$m\{x : I_\alpha f(x) > 2\tau\} \le m\{x : I^1_\alpha f(x) > \tau\} + m\{x : I^2_\alpha f(x) > \tau\}. \tag{2.2}$$

We note that it suffices to prove inequality (2.1) with 2τ in place of τ in the left side of the inequality, and we can further assume $\|f\|_{L^p} = 1$.

From Young inequality (Lemma 2.1), we have

$$||I^1_\alpha f||_{L^p} \le C \int_{|y|_g \le \gamma} \frac{1}{|y|_g^{n-\alpha}} dV_g \cdot ||f||_{L^p} = c\gamma^\alpha.$$

Thus

$$m\{x : I^1_\alpha f(x) > \tau\} \le \frac{||I^1_\alpha f||^p_{L^p}}{\tau^p} \le C\gamma^{p\alpha} \cdot \tau^{-p}.$$

On the other hand, Young inequality implies

$$||I^2_\alpha f||_{L^\infty} \le C\big(\int_{|y|_g \ge \gamma} \big(\frac{1}{|y|_g^{n-\alpha}}\big)^{p'} dV_g\big)^{1/p'} \cdot ||f||_{L^p} = C_1\gamma^{-n/q}.$$

Choose γ so that $C_1\gamma^{-n/q} = \tau$. Then $m\{x : I^2_\alpha f(x) > \tau\} = 0$, and

$$m\{x : I^1_\alpha f(x) > \tau\} \le C\gamma^{p\alpha} \cdot \tau^{-p} = C_2\tau^{-q}.$$

(2.1) follows from the above easily.

For any $r \in (1, q)$, we will show the embedding is a compact. This shall be a known fact since the compact embedding is a local property, and for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $L^r(\Omega) \subset\subset W^{\alpha,p}(\Omega)$ is ompact, see, for example, [6]. We only outline the proof here.

Let $(\Omega_i, \phi_i)_{i=1}^N$ be a finite covering of M^n, with each Ω_i being homeomorphic to the unite ball $B_1(0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be a C^∞ partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\{\Omega_i\}_{i=1}^N$.

Let $\{f_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ be a bounded sequence in $L^p(M^n)$, then for each fixed $i = 1, 2, \cdots, N$, there exists a subsequence $\{\alpha_i I_\alpha f_{m_j}\}$ which is precompact in $L^r(\Omega_i)$ due to the compact embedding result on bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Choosing a diagonal subsequence $\{I_\alpha f_{m_j}\}$, such that $\alpha_i I_\alpha f_{m_j}$ is precompact in $L^r(\Omega_i)$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, N$, we then know that $\{I_\alpha f_{m_j}\}$ is precompact in $L^r(M^n)$, following from Minkowski inequality

$$||I_\alpha f_{m_j} - I_\alpha f_{m_l}||_{L^r(M^n)} \le \sum_{i=1}^N ||\alpha_i I_\alpha f_{m_j} - \alpha_i I_\alpha f_{m_l}||_{L^r(M^n)} \to 0.$$

We hereby complete the proof of Proposition 1.1.

Remark 2.2. It is quite clear that a similar augument to the above leads to: for q saisfying (1.1), there is a positive constant $C > 0$, such that

$$||I_{M^n,g,\alpha}f||_{L^q(M^n)} \le C||f||_{L^p(M^n)}. \tag{2.3}$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$. Moreover, for $1 \le r < q$, operator $I_{M^n,g,\alpha} : L^p(M^n) \to L^r(M^n)$ is a compact embedding.

2.2. **Sharp constant and the generalized Yamabe problem.**

2.2.1. *Best constant.* We first give a lower bound estimate for the optimal constant $Y_\alpha(M^n, g)$.

Proposition 2.3.

$$\xi_\alpha \ge Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0),$$

where

$$\xi_\alpha := \sup_{f\in C^0(M^n)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\left|\int_{M^n\times M^n} f(x)f(y)|x-y|_g^{\alpha-n}dV_g(x)dV_g(y)\right|}{\|f\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}}.$$

Proof. For small positive constant $\lambda > 0$, recall that $f_\lambda(x)$ is given in (1.9). Take

$$\tilde{f} = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(x), & \text{in } B_\delta(0), \\ 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0), \end{cases}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a fixed constant to be determined later. Then, for small enough λ, $\tilde{f} \in L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\
=&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\
&-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\
&+\int_{(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\
=&Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} - \mathbf{I} + \mathbf{II},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I} &= 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy,\\
\mathbf{II} &= \int_{(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy.
\end{aligned}$$

Note (see, e.g. [29] or [27])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\lambda(x)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dx = Bf_\lambda^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n+\alpha}}(y),$$

where $B = \pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma((n+\alpha)/2)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I} = C&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0)} |f_\lambda|^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}dx\\ =C&\int_{\delta}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+r^2}\right)^n r^{n-1}dr\\ =C&\int_{\frac{\delta}{\lambda}}^{+\infty}(1+t^2)^{-n}t^{n-1}dt = O(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-n}, \quad \text{as } \lambda\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from HLS inequality, we know that II can be estimated as

$$\mathbf{II} \le Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} \le C(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-(n+\alpha)}.$$

So, for small enough λ,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n}\tilde{f}(x)\tilde{f}(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy}{\|\tilde{f}\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \ge Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) - C(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-n}. \tag{2.5}$$

For any given point $P\in M^n$, choose a neighbourhood $\Omega_P\subset M^n$ so that for $\delta>0$ small enough, in a normal coordinate, $\exp(B_\delta)\subset\Omega_P$ and

$$(1-\epsilon)I \le g(x) \le (1+\epsilon)I, \quad \forall x\in B_\delta.$$

Thus,

$$(1-\epsilon)|x-y| \le |x-y|_g \le (1+\epsilon)|x-y|, \qquad \forall x,\ y\in B_\delta.$$

In the normal coordinates with respect to the center $P\in M^n$, let

$$v(x) = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(\exp^{-1}(x)), & in \quad \exp(B_\delta)\\ 0, & in \quad M^n\setminus\exp(B_\delta).\end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{M^n}|v|^{2n/(n+\alpha)}dV \le (1+\epsilon)^{\frac{n}{2}}\int_{B_\delta(0)}|f_\lambda(x)|^{2n/(n+\alpha)}dx,\\ &\int_{M^n}\int_{M^n}\frac{v(x)v(y)}{|x-y|_g^{n-\alpha}}dV_xdV_y = \int_{B_\delta(0)}\int_{B_\delta(0)}\frac{v(x)v(y)}{|x-y|_g^{n-\alpha}}\sqrt{detg(x)}\sqrt{delt(y)}dxdy\\ &\ge \int_{B_\delta(0)}\int_{B_\delta(0)}\frac{f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)}{(1+\epsilon)^{n-\alpha}|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}(1-\epsilon)^n dxdy\\ &= \frac{(1-\epsilon)^n}{(1+\epsilon)^{n-\alpha}}\int_{B_\delta(0)}\int_{B_\delta(0)}\frac{f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}}dxdy.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_\alpha \ge& \frac{\int_{M^n}\int_{M^n}v(x)v(y)|x-y|_g^{\alpha-n}dV_xdV_y}{\|v\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}}\\ \ge& \frac{\frac{(1-\epsilon)^n}{(1+\epsilon)^{n-\alpha}}\int_{B_\delta(0)}\int_{B_\delta(0)}f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy}{(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(B_\delta(0))}}\\ \ge& \frac{(1-\epsilon)^{n-1}}{(1+\epsilon)^{\frac{n+\alpha}{2}+n-\alpha}}\left(Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) - C(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-n}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Sending ϵ and λ to 0, we obtain the estimate. □

With a slight modification of the above proof, we have

Corollary 2.4. *For $\alpha < n$,*

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \geq Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0).$$

Similar to Aubin's approach for solving Yamabe problem, we will establish an ϵ-level sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality for solving general curvature equations.

For $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $p > 1$ and q satisfying (1.1), define

$$N_{\alpha,p} = \sup_{f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\left|\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} f(x)f(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\right|}{\|f\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}.$$

Proposition 2.5 (ϵ-Level Inequality)**.** *For $\alpha \in (0, n)$, $p > 1$, let q be given by (1.1). For any given $\epsilon > 0$, there is a constant $C(\epsilon) > 0$, such that*

$$\|I_\alpha f\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} \leq (N_{\alpha,p} + \epsilon)^p \|f\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} + C(\epsilon)\|I_{\alpha+1} f\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} \tag{2.7}$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$.

Proof. We only need to prove (2.7) for nonnegative function $f \in C(M^n)$.

For fixed $\epsilon > 0$, let $\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\}_{i=1}^k$ be a partition of the unit covering, such that $0 \leq \eta_{i,\epsilon} \leq 1$ for all $i = 1, \cdots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k \eta^p_{i,\epsilon} = 1$, and for all $i = 1, \cdots, k$,

$$||I_\alpha(\eta_{i,\epsilon} f)||_{L^q(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})} \leq (N_{\alpha,p} + \epsilon)||\eta_{i,\epsilon} f||_{L^p(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})}. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
&||I_\alpha f||^p_{L^q(M^n)} = ||(I_\alpha f)^p||_{L^{q/p}(M^n)} \\
= \ & ||\sum_{i=1}^k \eta^p_{i,\epsilon}(I_\alpha f)^p||_{L^{q/p}(M^n)} \leq \sum_{i=1}^k ||\eta^p_{i,\epsilon}(I_\alpha f)^p||_{L^{q/p}(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})} \\
= \ & \sum_{i=1}^k ||\eta_{i,\epsilon} I_\alpha f||^p_{L^q(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})} \\
\leq \ & \sum_{i=1}^k \big(||I_\alpha(\eta_{i,\epsilon} f)||_{L^q(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})} \\
& \qquad + ||\eta_{i,\epsilon} I_\alpha f - I_\alpha(\eta_{i,\epsilon} f)||_{L^q(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})}\big)^p.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

For fixed i,

$$\begin{aligned}
||\eta_{i,\epsilon} I_\alpha f - & I_\alpha(\eta_{i,\epsilon} f)||^q_{L^q(\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})} \\
&= \int_{\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\}} |\int_{M^n} [\eta_{i,\epsilon}(x) - \eta_{i,\epsilon}(y)] f(y)|x-y|_g^{\alpha-n} dV_y|^q dV_x \\
&\leq (\max|\nabla\eta_{i,\epsilon}|) \cdot \int_{\text{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\}} |\int_{M^n} f(y)\text{supp}\eta_{i,\epsilon}|x-y|_g|x-y|_g^{\alpha-n} dV_y|^q dV_x \\
&\leq C(\max|\nabla\eta_{i,\epsilon}|) \cdot (1+\epsilon)^q ||I_{\alpha+1} f||^q_{L^q(M^n)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Bringing (2.8) and (2.10) into (2.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}||I_\alpha f||^p_{L^q(M^n)} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left[(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)||\eta_{i,\epsilon}f||_{L^p(\mathrm{supp}\{\eta_{i,\epsilon}\})}+C||I_{\alpha+1}f||_{L^q(M^n)}\right]^p\\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^{k}(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p\cdot(1+\epsilon)||\eta_{i,\epsilon}f||^p_{L^p(M^n)}+C(\epsilon)||I_{\alpha+1}f||^p_{L^q(M^n)}\\ &=(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p\cdot(1+\epsilon)||f||^p_{L^p(M^n)}+C(\epsilon)||I_{\alpha+1}f||^p_{L^q(M^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

□

It is obvious that a similar ϵ-level inequality also holds for operator $I_{M^n,g,\alpha}$.

Corollary 2.6. *For $\alpha \in (0,n)$, $p>1$, let q be given by* (1.1)*. For any given $\epsilon>0$, there is a constant $C(\epsilon)>0$, such that*

$$\|I_{M^n,g,\alpha}f\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} \leq (N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p\|f\|^p_{L^p(M^n)}+C(\epsilon)\|I_{M^n,g,\alpha+1}f\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} \tag{2.11}$$

holds for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$.

Based on the $\epsilon-$ level sharp HLS inequality, we can establish the criterior for the existence of maximizer to the following quotient energy.

Proposition 2.7. *If*

$$\xi_{\alpha,p} := \sup_{f\in L^p(M^n)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\left|\int_{M^n\times M^n} f(x)f(y)|x-y|_g^{\alpha-n}dV_g(x)dV_g(y)\right|}{\|f\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}} > N_{\alpha,p},$$

then the supremum $\xi_{\alpha,p}$ is attained.

Proof. Let q be given by (1.1). Choose a maximizing sequence $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{+\infty}\subset L^p(M^n)$ such that $\|I_\alpha f_i\|_{L^q(M^n)}=1$. Without loss of generality, we can also assume that $f_i\geq 0$.

Claim: there exists a subsequence (still denoted as $\{f_i\}$) and $f_*\in L^p(M^n)$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_i \rightharpoonup f_* & \text{weakly in} & L^p(M^n),\\ I_\alpha f_i \rightharpoonup I_\alpha f_* & \text{weakly in} & L^q(M^n),\\ I_{\alpha+1}f_i \to I_{\alpha+1}f_* & \text{strongly in} & L^q(M^n).\end{array}$$

In fact, from Hölder inequality, we know $\|f_i\|_{L^p}(M^n)\leq \frac{1}{\xi_\alpha}+1$ for large i, thus $\{f_i\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^p(M^n)$. So, there exists a subsequence (still denoted as $\{f_i\}$) and a function $f_*\in L^p(M^n)$ such that

$$f_i \rightharpoonup f_* \quad \text{weakly in} \quad L^p(M^n).$$

Meanwhile, for any $g\in L^{q'}(M^n)$, we have $I_\alpha g\in L^{p'}(M^n)$ and

$$\|I_{\alpha+1}g\|_{L^{p'}(M^n)}\leq C(M^n)\|I_\alpha g\|_{L^{p'}(M^n)}<+\infty,$$

where q' is the conjugate of q and p' is the conjugate of p. So,

$$< I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*, g> = < f_i - f_*, I_\alpha g> \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad i\to+\infty,$$

and

$$< I_{\alpha+1} f_i - I_{\alpha+1} f_*, g> = < f_i - f_*, I_{\alpha+1} g> \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad i\to+\infty.$$

Combining the compactness of $\{I_{\alpha+1}f_i\}$ concluded from Proposition 1.1, we have

$$I_{\alpha+1}f_i \to I_{\alpha+1}f_* \quad \text{strongly in} \quad L^q(M^n).$$

Applying Brezis-Lieb Lemma [2], we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\|f_i\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} - \|f_i - f_*\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} - \|f_*\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} = o(1),\\ &1 - \|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^q_{L^q(M^n)} - \|I_\alpha f_*\|_{L^q(M^n)} = o(1).\end{aligned}$$

Also note:

$$\xi_{\alpha,p} = \frac{(I_\alpha f_i, f_i)}{\|f_i\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}} + o(1) \le \frac{1}{\|f_i\|_{L^p(M^n)}} + o(1).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}} \ge&\|f_i\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} + o(1)\\ =&\|f_i - f_*\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} + \|f_*\|^p_{L^p(M^n)} + o(1)\\ \ge&\frac{1}{(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p}\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} + \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\|I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)}\\ &- \frac{C(\epsilon)}{(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p}\|I_{\alpha+1} f_i - I_{\alpha+1} f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} + o(1) \quad \text{(by ϵ-level inequality (2.7))}\\ =&\left(\frac{1}{(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p} - \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\right)\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)}\\ &+ \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\left(\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} + \|I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)}\right)\\ &- \frac{C(\epsilon)}{(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p}\|I_{\alpha+1} f_i - I_{\alpha+1} f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} + o(1)\\ \ge&\left(\frac{1}{N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p} - \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\right)\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)}\\ &+ \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\left(\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^q_{L^q(M^n)} + \|I_\alpha f_*\|^q_{L^q(M^n)}\right) + o(1)\\ =&\left(\frac{1}{(N_{\alpha,p}+\epsilon)^p} - \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}}\right)\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f_*\|^p_{L^q(M^n)} + \frac{1}{\xi^p_{\alpha,p}} + o(1).\end{aligned}$$

So

$$\lim_{i\to+\infty}\|I_\alpha f_i - I_\alpha f\|_{L^q(M^n)} = 0.$$

On the other hand,

$$\|f_*\|_{L^p(M^n)} \le \liminf_{i\to+\infty}\|f_i\|_{L^p(M^n)},$$

we thus know

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{(I_\alpha f_i, f_i)}{\|f_i\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}} \le \frac{(I_\alpha f_*, f_*)}{\|f_*\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}}$$

So $f_* \in L^p(M^n)$ is a maximizer. □

Similarly, based on Corollary 2.6, we can obtain the following

Corollary 2.8. *If*

$$\xi_{\alpha,p,G} := \sup_{f\in L^p(M^n)\setminus\{0\}}\frac{\left|\int_{M^n\times M^n} f(x)f(y)[G^g_x(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}}dV_g(x)dV_g(y)\right|}{\|f\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}} > N_{\alpha,p},$$

then the supremum $\xi_{\alpha,p,G}$ is attained.

2.2.2. *Genaralized Yamabe problem.* We shall prove Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha < n$ in this subsection. Due to Corollary 2.8, we only need to prove

Proposition 2.9. *If (M^n, g) is locally conformally flat, but not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$, then for $\alpha < n$, $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) > Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$.*

From now on in this subsection, we will assume that (M^n, g) is a locally conformally flat manifold. We need the follow expansion for Green's function of conformal Laplacian operator near its singular point (Lemma 6.4 in [26], here we use the same notations).

Lemma 2.10. *Let (M^n, g) be a locally conformally flat manifold ($n \neq 2$). In conformal normal coordinates $\{x^i\}$ at x, $G_x^g(y)$ has an asymptotic expansion*

$$G_x^g(y) = r^{2-n} + A + O''(r), \qquad \forall\ y \in B_{\delta_0}(x) \tag{2.12}$$

where $A \geq 0$ is a constant.

Proof of Proposition 2.9. Let $P \in M^n$ be a fixed point. In a conformal normal coordinate around P, $G_x^g(y)$ satisfies (2.12). Further, since the manifold is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere, $A > 0$ by the positive mass theorem. For simplicity, we denote $B_\delta(P)$ as B_δ.

For small enough $\delta > 0$, choose a test function

$$u = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(x), & B_\delta, \\ 0, & M^n \backslash B_\delta. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

Then by a similar argument to Proposition 2.3, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \geq & J_{g,\alpha}(u) \\ \geq & Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) - C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} \\ & + A \cdot \frac{\int_{B_\delta \times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y) dx dy}{\|u\|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}(M^n)}}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\delta \times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y) dx dy \\ = & \lambda^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_\delta \times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} \left(1 + \frac{|x|^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} \left(1 + \frac{|y|^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} dx dy \\ = & \lambda^{n-2} \int_{B_{\delta/\lambda} \times B_{\delta/\lambda}} |u-v|^{\alpha-2} (1+|u|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} (1+|v|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} du dv \\ \geq & C_1 \lambda^{n-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} & -C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} + A \cdot \frac{\int_{B_\delta \times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y) dx dy}{\|u\|^2_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}(M^n)}} \\ \geq & -C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} + C_2 A \lambda^{n-2} = \lambda^{n-2}(C_2 A - C\lambda^2 \delta^{-n}) > 0 \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

by choosing λ much smaller than δ. We hereby obtain

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) > Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0). \tag{2.17}$$

3. CASE OF $\alpha > n$

We first establish the reversed HLS inequality on general compact Riemannian manifolds.

3.1. **Reversed HLS inequality on Manifolds.**

We need the follwing two lemmas.

Lemma 3.1 (Conversed Young's Inequality). *There is a constant $C > 0$, such that*

$$||g * h||_{L^r} \geq C||g||_{L^q} \cdot ||h||_{L^p}$$

where $p \in (0, 1), q, r < 0$ and satisfying

$$1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{p}.$$

This can be proved in a simiar way to that for Conversed Young's Inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$. We skip details here.

For a given measurable function $f(x)$ on M^n and $0 < p < +\infty$, the weak L^p norm of $f(x)$ is defined by

$$\|f\|_{L^p_W} = \inf\{A > 0 : \ meas\{|f(x)| > t\} \cdot t^p \leq A^p\},$$

For $p < 0$, the norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{L^p_W} = \sup\{A > 0 : \ meas\{|f(x)| < t\} \cdot t^p \leq A^p\}.$$

Thus, for $p < 0$,

$$\|f\|^p_{L^p_W} = \inf\{B > 0 : \ meas\{|f(x)| < t\} \cdot t^p \leq B\}.$$

Let $T : L^p(M^n) \to L^q(M^n)$ be a linear operator. We recall that for $0 < p, q < +\infty$, operator T is called the weak type (p, q) if there exists a constant $C(p, q) > 0$ such that for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$

$$meas\{x : |Tf(x)| > \tau\} \leq \left(C(p, q)\frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\tau}\right)^q, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

For $q < 0 < p < 1$, we say operator T is of the weak type (p, q), if there exists a constant $C(p, q) > 0$, such that for all $f \in L^p(M^n)$,

$$meas\{x : |Tf(x)| < \tau\} \leq \left(C(p, q)\frac{\|f\|_{L^p}}{\tau}\right)^q, \quad \forall \tau > 0.$$

Lemma 3.2 (Marcinkiewicz type interpolation theorem). *Let T be a linear operator which maps any nonnegative function to a nonnegative function. For a pair of numbers (p_1, q_1), (p_2, q_2) satisfying $q_i < 0 < p_i < 1$, $i = 1, 2$, $p_1 < p_2$ and $q_1 < q_2$, if T is weak type (p_1, q_1) and (p_2, q_2) for all nonnegative functions, then for any $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_1} + \frac{\theta}{p_2}, \quad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_1} + \frac{\theta}{q_2},$$

T is reversed strong type (p, q) for all nonnegative functions, that is,

$$\|Tf\|_{L^q} \geq C\|f\|_{L^p}, \quad \forall f \in L^p \quad and \quad f \geq 0,$$

for some constant $C = C(p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2) > 0$.

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is almost identical to that for the same inequality in $\mathbb{R}^n$, see Dou and Zhu [9].

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is quite standard. We shall follow the proof of reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, given in Dou and Zhu [9] (proof of Proposition 2.3 there). To prove (1.3), we only need to show that there is a constant $C > 0$, such that for any $\lambda > 0$,

$$m\{x \in M^n \ : \ |I_\alpha f| < \lambda\} \leq C\left(\frac{||f||_{L^p}}{\lambda}\right)^q. \tag{3.1}$$

Inequality (1.3) follows from the above inequality via the above Marcinkiewicz type interpolation theorem (Lemma 3.2).

For any $\gamma > 0$, define

$$I^1_\alpha f(x) = \int_{|y-x|_g \leq \gamma} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy,$$

and

$$I^2_\alpha f(x) = \int_{|y-x|_g > \gamma} \frac{f(y)}{|x-y|^{n-\alpha}} dy.$$

Thus, for any $\tau > 0$,

$$m\{x : I_\alpha f(x) < 2\tau\} \leq m\{x : I^1_\alpha f(x) < \tau\} + m\{x : I^2_\alpha f(x) < \tau\}. \tag{3.2}$$

We note that it suffices to prove inequality (3.1) with 2τ in place of τ in the left side of the inequality, and we can further assume $\|f\|_{L^p} = 1$. From Conversed Young's inequality (Lemma 3.1), we have

$$||I^1_\alpha f||_{L^{r_1}} \geq C \left(\int_{M^n} \left(\frac{\chi_\gamma(y)}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \right)^{t_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{t_1}} ||f||_{L^p} =: D_1,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{t_1} = 1 + \frac{1}{r_1}$ with $t_1 \in (\frac{n}{n-\alpha}, 0)$, $r_1 < 0$, $\chi_\gamma(x) = 1$ for $|x|_g \leq \gamma$ and $\chi_\gamma(x) = 0$ for $|x|_g > \gamma$, and

$$D_1 = \left(\int_{M^n} \left(\frac{\chi_\gamma(y)}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \right)^{t_1} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{t_1}} = C_1(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{n-(n-\alpha)t_1}{t_1}}.$$

Thus

$$m\{x : I^1_\alpha f(x) < \tau\} \leq \frac{||I^1_\alpha f||^{r_1}_{L^{r_1}}}{\tau^{r_1}} \leq \frac{C_2(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{r_1[n-(n-\alpha)t_1]}{t_1}}}{\tau^{r_1}}. \tag{3.3}$$

On the other hand, Conversed Young's inequality implies

$$||I^2_\alpha f||_{L^{r_2}} \geq C \left(\int_{M^n} \left(\frac{1-\chi_\gamma(y)}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \right)^{t_2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{t_2}} ||f||_{L^p} =: D_2,$$

where $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{t_2} = 1 + \frac{1}{r_2}$ with $t_2 < \frac{n}{n-\alpha}$, $r_2 < 0$ and

$$D_2 = \left(\int_{M^n} \left(\frac{1-\chi_\gamma(y)}{|y|^{n-\alpha}} \right)^{t_2} dy \right)^{\frac{1}{t_2}} = C_3(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{n-(n-\alpha)t_2}{t_2}}.$$

It follows that $r_1 < \frac{np}{n-\alpha p} < r_2$ and

$$m\{x : I^2_\alpha f(x) < \tau\} \leq \frac{||I^1_\alpha f||^{r_2}_{L^{r_2}}}{\tau^{r_2}} \leq \frac{C_4(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{r_2[n-(n-\alpha)t_2]}{t_2}}}{\tau^{r_2}}. \tag{3.4}$$

Bringing (3.3) and (3.4) into (3.2), we have

$$m\{x : I_\alpha f(x) < 2\tau\} \le \frac{C_2(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{r_1[n-(n-\alpha)t_1]}{t_1}}}{\tau^{r_1}} + \frac{C_4(n,\alpha)\gamma^{\frac{r_2[n-(n-\alpha)t_2]}{t_2}}}{\tau^{r_2}}.$$

Choose $\gamma = \tau^{\frac{p}{p\alpha-n}}$. We have

$$\frac{pr_1}{p\alpha-n}\left[\frac{n}{t_1}-(n-\alpha)\right]-r_1 = \frac{pr_2}{p\alpha-n}\left[\frac{n}{t_2}-(n-\alpha)\right]-r_2 = -\frac{np}{n-p\alpha} = -q.$$

We thus obtain (3.1) and complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3.2. **Sharp constant and the generalized Yamabe proble for $\alpha > n$.**

3.2.1. *Best constant.* Recall, for $\alpha > n$,

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) = \inf_{f\in L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)\setminus\{0\}} \frac{\left|\int_{M^n\times M^n} f(x)f(y)[G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} dV_g(x)dV_g(y)\right|}{\|f\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}}.$$

It follows from Dou-Zhu's result [9], that the infimum on the standard sphere or flat plane is achieved, $Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g)$ is given by (1.8) and extremal functions on flat plane $\mathbb{R}^n$ are given by (1.9).

We first give an upper bound estimate for the optimal constant $Y_\alpha(M^n, g)$.

Proposition 3.3. *If $\alpha > n$, then*

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \le Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0).$$

Proof. Take

$$\tilde f = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(x), & \text{in } B_\delta(0),\\ 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0),\end{cases}$$

where $\delta > 0$ is a fixed constant to be determined later. Then, for small enough λ, $\tilde f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} \tilde f(x)\tilde f(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\ &-\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\ &-\int_{B_\delta(0)\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy\\ =&Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)} - \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{II},\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

where

$$\mathbf{I} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy,$$

$$\mathbf{II} = \int_{B_\delta(0)\times(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))} f_\lambda(x)f_\lambda(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy.$$

Note (see [9])

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\lambda(x)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dx = Bf_\lambda^{\frac{n-\alpha}{n+\alpha}}(y),$$

where $B=\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}\frac{\Gamma(\alpha/2)}{\Gamma((n+\alpha)/2)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{I}=&C\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0)}|f_\lambda|^{2n/(n+\alpha)}dx\\=&C\int_\delta^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda^2+r^2}\right)^n r^{n-1}dr\\=&C\int_{\frac{\delta}{\lambda}}^{+\infty}(1+t^2)^{-n}t^{n-1}dt=O(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-n},\quad\text{as }\lambda\to 0.\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the reversed HLS inequality, we know that II can be estimated as

$$\mathbf{II}\ge C\|f_\lambda\|_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(B_\delta(0)}\|f_\lambda\|_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))}=O(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}\quad\text{as }\lambda\to 0.$$

Note that $\frac{n+\alpha}{n}>1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}=&\left(\int_{B_\delta(0)}|f_\lambda|^{2n/(n+\alpha)}dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0)}|f_\lambda|^{2n/(n+\alpha)}dx\right)^{(n+\alpha)/n}\\\le&\|f_\lambda\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(B_\delta(0))}+C\|f_\lambda\|^{2-p}_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(B_\delta(0))}\|f_\lambda\|^p_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n\setminus B_\delta(0))}.\end{aligned}$$

So, for small enough λ,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}^n}\tilde f(x)\tilde f(y)|x-y|^{\alpha-n}dxdy}{\|\tilde f\|^2_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}}\le Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n,g_0)+C(\frac{\delta}{\lambda})^{-n}.\tag{3.6}$$

The rest of the argument can be carried out in the same way as in the proof of Proposition 2.3. □

To prove Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha>n$, we first prove

Proposition 3.4. *If $Y_\alpha(M^n,g)<Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n,g_0)$, then the infimum is attained.*

The proof will base on a new blowup analysis. For subcritical power $p\in(0,\frac{2n}{n+\alpha})$, we consider the infimum

$$Y_{\alpha,p}(M^n,g):=\inf_{u\in C^0(M^n)\setminus\{0\},u\ge 0}J_{g,\alpha,p}(u).\tag{3.7}$$

where

$$J_{g,\alpha,p}(u):=\frac{\int_{M^n}\int_{M^n}u(x)u(y)[G^g_x(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}}dV_g(x)dV_g(y)}{\|u\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}}.$$

Lemma 3.5. *For subcritical power $p\in(0,\frac{2n}{n+\alpha})$. infimum $Y_{\alpha,p}(M^n,g)$ is attained.*

Proof. The lemma could be proved via establishing certain compactness embedding for $\alpha>n$, which is not known. To circumnavigate this difficulty, we here use a new blowup type argument. The main difference between our new blowup analysis with the traditional one is that: our argument is a global one since we do not have a local Sobolev type inequality (the classic concentratione compactness, as well as Nash-Moser iteration are not available).

For fixed $p\in(0,\frac{2n}{n+\alpha})$, let u_i be a minimizing positive sequence of $Y_{\alpha,p}(M^n,g)$ with $\|u\|^2_{L^p(M^n)}=1$. Easy to see that, up to further subsequence, $u_i\to u_*\in L^p(M^n)$ pointwise.

We consider two cases:

Case 1: There are at least two points on M^n, say $x_0,\ x_1$ and a universal positive constant $C > 0$, such that, for any $r > 0$, there is a subsequence of u_i, satisfying

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_0)} u_i^p > C; \qquad \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_1)} u_i^p > C.$$

Note $p < 1$. The above inequality implies:

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_0)} u_i > 0; \qquad \lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_1)} u_i > 0. \tag{3.8}$$

Denote

$$I_{g,\alpha}u_i(x) = \int_{M^n} u_i(y)[G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}})dV_g(y). \tag{3.9}$$

We then know, due to (3.8) that there is a universal positive constant $C > 0$, such that

$$I_{g,\alpha}u_i(\xi) \geq C \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all} \quad \xi \in M^n. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand, if $meas\{\xi \in M^n \ : I_{g,\alpha}u_i(\xi) \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty\} = vol(M^n)$, then we have, using (3.8), that $H_{\alpha,R}(u_i, u_i) \to \infty$, which contradicts the assumption that u_i is a minimizing sequence. Thus $I_{g,\alpha}u_i(\xi)$ stays uniformly bounded in a set with positive measure. This implies: there is a constant $C_1 > 0$, such that

$$\int_{M^n} u_i(\xi)dS_\xi \leq C_1. \tag{3.11}$$

From (3.11) we know that sequence $\{I_{g,\alpha}u_i(\xi)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded and equiv-continuous on M^n. Up to a subsequence, $I_{g,\alpha}u_i(x) \to L(x) \in C(M^n)$.

Using Fatou Lemma and the reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see Dou and Zhu [9]), we have, up to a further subsequence, that, for any positive integer $m > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\geq \big(\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{M^n} |I_{g,\alpha}u_i - I_{g,\alpha}u_{i+m}|^{2n/(n-\alpha)}\big)^{(n-\alpha)/2n} \\
&\geq C\big(\lim_{i\to\infty} ||u_i - u_{i+m}||^{2n/(n-\alpha)}_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}}\big)^{(n-\alpha)/2n}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $||u_i - u_{i+m}||_{L^{2n/n+\alpha)}} \to 0$. This implies $||u_i - u_*||_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}} \to 0$. Thus the infimum is achieved by u_*. Easy to see that $u_* > 0$ every where on M^n.

We are left to rule out the following case.

Case 2. Single point blow up point: There is only one point $x_0 \in M^n$, such that for any $r > 0$, there is a subsequence of u_i, such that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_0)} u_i^p = 1. \tag{3.12}$$

It follows from (3.12) and Hölder inequality that

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\int_{B_r(x_0)} u_i^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \to \infty.$$

On the other hand, $||I_{g,\alpha}u_i(x)||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n-\alpha}}}$ is bounded, thus $||u_i||_{L^{\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}}}$ is bounded via the reversed HLS inequality. Contradiction. Thus case 2 can not happen.

$\square$

Lemma 3.5 yields that the infimum $Y_{\alpha,p}(M^n, g)$ is attained. Let u_p be a minimizer such that $\|u\|_{L^p(M^n)} = 1$. It can be proved that u_p is smooth function (see, for example, [27], or [8]). To complete the proof of Proposition 3.4, we discuss two cases.

Case 1: There are at least two points on M^n, say x_0, x_1 and a universal positive constant $C > 0$, such that, for any $r > 0$, there is a subsequence of u_p, satisfying

$$\lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p^p > C; \qquad \lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_1)} u_p^p > C.$$

Note $p < 1$. The above inequality implies:

$$\lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p > 0; \qquad \lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_1)} u_p > 0. \tag{3.13}$$

We then know, due to (3.13) that there is a universal positive constant $C_4 > 0$, such that

$$I_{g,\alpha} u_p(\xi) \geq C_4 \quad \text{for} \quad \text{all} \quad \xi \in M^n. \tag{3.14}$$

On the other hand, if $meas\{\xi \in M^n\ : I_{g,\alpha}u_p(\xi) \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty\} = vol(M^n)$, then we have, using (3.13), that $H_{g,\alpha}(u_p, u_p) \to \infty$, which contradicts the assumption that u_p is a minimizing sequence. Thus $I_{g,\alpha}u_p(\xi)$ stays uniformly bounded in a set with positive measure. This implies: there is a constant $C_5 > 0$, such that

$$\int_{M^n} u_p(\xi) dS_\xi \leq C_5. \tag{3.15}$$

From (3.15) we know that sequence $\{I_{g,\alpha}u_p(\xi)\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is uniformly bounded and equivcontinuous on M^n. Up to a subsequence, $I_{\alpha,R}u_p(x) \to L(x) \in C(M^n)$.

Using Fatou Lemma and the reversed Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality (see Dou and Zhu [9]), we have, up to a further subsequence, that,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\geq \Big(\lim_{p_1,p_2\to 2n/(n+\alpha)} \int_{M^n} |I_{g,\alpha}u_{p_1} - I_{g,\alpha}u_{p_2}|^{2n/(n-\alpha)}\Big)^{(n-\alpha)/2n} \\ &\geq C\Big(\lim_{p_1,p_2\to 2n/(n+\alpha)} ||u_{p_1} - u_{p_2}||^{2n/(n-\alpha)}_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}}\Big)^{(n-\alpha)/2n}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $||u_i - u_j||_{L^{2n/n+\alpha)}} \to 0$. This implies $||u_i - u_\circ||_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}} \to 0$ for some $u_\circ$. Thus, up to a further subsequence, $u_i \to u_\circ \geq 0$ almost everywhere. Dominate convergence theorem yields that $||u_\circ||_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}} = 1$. It follows, via Fatou Lemma, that $\lim_{i,j\to\infty} H_{\alpha,R}(u_i, u_j) \geq H_{\alpha,R}(u_\circ, u_\circ)$. Thus the infimum is achieved by $f_\circ \geq 0$.

Using energy condition, we will rule out

Case 2: Single point blow up point: There is only one point $x_0 \in M^n$, such that for any $r > 0$, there is a subsequence of u_p, such that

$$\lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p^p = 1. \tag{3.16}$$

It follows (3.16) that

$$\lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p > 0.$$

If there is another point $x_1 \neq x_0$, such that for small $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{p\to\frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x_1)} u_p > 0.$$

We then again can obtain the existence of minimizer using the above argument.

Finally, if for any $x \neq x_0$, , such that for small $r < dist(x, x_0)$,

$$\lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \int_{B_r(x)} u_p = 0, \tag{3.17}$$

we shall show that in this case $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \geq Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$ which contradicts to the energy constraint $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) < Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$.

In fact, from the assumption of one blowup point (3.16) and (3.17) (also notice that $\alpha > n$), we know that for small enough $r > 0$,

$$\lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} J_{g,\alpha,p}(u_p) = \lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \frac{\left| \int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p(x) u_p(y) [G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} dV_g(x) dV_g(y) \right|}{\|u_p\|^2_{L^p(B_r(x_0))}}.$$

Since M^n is locally conformally flat, we know

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \frac{\int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p(x) u_p(y) [G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} dV_g(x) dV_g(y)}{\|u_p\|^2_{L^p(B_r(x_0))}} \\
&= \lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \frac{\int_{B_r(x_0)} \int_{B_r(x_0)} u_p(x) u_p(y) [G_x^g(y)]^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}}) dV_g(x) dV_g(y)}{\|u_p\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(B_r(x_0))}} \\
&= \lim_{p \to \frac{2n}{n+\alpha}} \frac{\int_{S_R} \int_{S_R} u_p(x) u_p(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} dx dy}{\|u_p\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(S_R)}} \\
&\geq \inf_{u \in L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n) \setminus \{0\}, u \geq 0} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) u(y) |x-y|^{\alpha-n} dx dy}{\|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(\mathbb{R}^n)}} \\
&= Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0),
\end{aligned}$$

where $S_R \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the image of $B_r(x_0) \in M^n$ under a conformal map from a local chart containingin $B_r(x_0)$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$.

We hereby complete the proof of Proposition 3.4.

To complete the proof Theorem 1.3 for $\alpha > n$, we are left to show

Proposition 3.6. *If (M^n, g) is locally conformally flat, but not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere $(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$, then for $\alpha > n$, $Y_\alpha(M^n, g) < Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0)$.*

Proof. Let $P \in M^n$ be a fixed point. In a conformal normal coordinate around P, $G_x^g(y)$ satisfies (2.12). Further, since the manifold is not conformally equivalent to the standard sphere, $A > 0$ by the positive mass theorem.

Since $\alpha > n > 2$, we know that there exist two positive constants δ_0, A_0 such that

$$(G_x(y))^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2-n}} \leq |x-y|_g^{\alpha-n} - A_0 |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2}, \qquad \forall\, x, y \in B_{\delta_0}(P).$$

In the sequel of the proof, we denote $B_\delta(P)$ as B_δ.

For any fixed $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, take a specific test function as

$$u = \begin{cases} f_\lambda(x), & B_\delta, \\ 0, & M^n \setminus B_\delta. \end{cases}$$

Similar to the computation in the proof of Proposition 2.3, we can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Y_\alpha(M^n, g) \le & J_{g,\alpha}(u) \\ \le & Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0) + C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} \\ & - A_0 \cdot \frac{\int_{B_\delta\times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)dxdy}{\|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{B_\delta\times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)dxdy \\ =& \lambda^{-(n+\alpha)} \int_{B_\delta\times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2}\left(1+\frac{|x|^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}\left(1+\frac{|y|^2}{\lambda^2}\right)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} dxdy \\ =& \lambda^{n-2}\int_{B_{\delta/\lambda}\times B_{\delta/\lambda}} |u-v|^{\alpha-2}(1+|u|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}}(1+|v|^2)^{-\frac{n+\alpha}{2}} dudv \\ \ge & C_1\lambda^{n-2}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & - C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} + A_0\frac{\int_{B_\delta\times B_\delta} |x-y|_g^{\alpha-2} f_\lambda(x) f_\lambda(y)dxdy}{\|u\|^2_{L^{2n/(n+\alpha)}(M^n)}} \\ \ge & - C\left(\frac{\delta}{\lambda}\right)^{-n} + C_2A_0\lambda^{n-2} = \lambda^{n-2}(C_2A_0 - C\lambda^2\delta^{-n}) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

by choosing λ much smaller than δ. Therefore, we deduce that

$$Y_\alpha(M^n, g) < Y_\alpha(\mathbb{S}^n, g_0).$$

□

Remark 3.7. Due to the lack of local sharp inequality for the case of $\alpha > n$, it is not clear what is the form for the Aubin type $\epsilon-$inequality. It is also very interesting to analysz the blowup behavior of solutions to the equations with negative power, since the concentration compactness principle does not hold, and the classical Nash-Moser type iteration does not work neither due to the lact of local sharp inequality.

Remark 3.8. While we are working on this paper, M. Zhu was informed by F. Hang and P. Yang of their recent work on $Q-$ curvature problem on 3 manifolds [19], where their estimates relies on the crucial sharp Sobolev inequality originally proved by Yang and Zhu [34]. It seems that their argument is hard to be extended for operator with fractional order. The recent discovery of the reversed sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality [9] is the foundation for our current work for the case of $\alpha > n$. A unified approach for the Nirenberg problem for $\alpha < n$ was given in a recent paper [24].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. M. Zhu would like to thank F. Hang and P. Yang for some conversations that shape this paper. He also thank them for bringing [19]-[21] to his attention; and thank T. Jin for bringing reference [6] to his attention. M. Zhu is partially supported by a collaboration grant from Simons Foundation. The work of Y. Han is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11201443 and 11101319).

References

[1] S. Brendle, Global existence and convergence for a higher order flow in conformal geometry, Ann. of Math. 158(1) (2003), 323-343.

[2] H. Brezis, E. Lieb, A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1983), 486-490.

[3] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial. Diff. Equ. 32 (2007), 1245-1260.

[4] S. -Y. Chang, M. Gursky, P. C. Yang, An equation of Monge-Ampere type in conformal geometry, and four-manifolds of positive Ricci curvature, Ann. of Math. 155(3) (2002), 709-787.

[5] W. Chen, R. Howard, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, G. Zhang, A generalized affine isoperimetric inequality, J. Geom. Anal. 14(4)(2004), 597–612.

[6] E. Di Nezzaa; G. Palatuccia; E. Valdinocia, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, Bulletin des Sciences Mathematiques, 136(5) (2012) , 521-573.

[7] Z. Djadli, A. Malchiodi, Existence of conformal metrics with constant Q-curvature, Ann. of Math. 168(3) (2008), 813-858.

[8] J. Dou, M. Zhu, Sharp Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality on the upper half space, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2013), DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnt213.

[9] J. Dou, M. Zhu, Reversed Hardy-Littewood-Sobolev inequality, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2014), DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnu241.

[10] M. González, R. Mazzeo, Y. Sire, Singular solutions of fractional order conformal Laplacians, J. Geom. Anal. 22(2) (2012), 845-863.

[11] M. González, J. Qing, Fractional conformal Laplacians and fractional Yamabe problems, Analysis & PDE 6(7) (2013), 1535-1576.

[12] C.R. Graham, M. Zworski, Scattering matrix in conformal geometry, Invent. Math. 152 (1) (2003), 89-118.

[13] P. Guan, C. S. Lin, G. Wang, Application of the method of moving planes to conformally invariant equations, Math. Z. 247(1) (2004), 1-19.

[14] M. Gursky, J. Viaclovsky, A fully nonlinear equation on four-manifolds with positive scalar curvature, J. Differential Geom. 63(1) (2003), 131-154.

[15] M. Gursky, J. Viaclovsky, Prescribing symmetric functions of the eigenvalues of the Ricci tensor, Ann. of Math. 166(2) (2007), 475-531.

[16] F. Hang, On the higher order conformal covariant operators on the sphere, Commun. Contemp. Math. 9(3) (2007), 279-299.

[17] F. Hang, X. Wang, X. Yan, An integral equation in conformal geometry, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Analyse Non Linéaire 26 (2009), 1-21.

[18] F. Hang, P. Yang, The Sobolev inequality for Paneitz operator on three manifolds, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 21 (2004), 57-83.

[19] F. Hang, P. Yang, Q curvature on a class of 3 manifolds, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. (2014), DOI: 10.1002/cpa.21559.

[20] F. Hang, P. Yang, Sign of Green's function of Paneitz operators and the Q curvature, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2014), DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnu247.

[21] F. Hang, P. Yang, Q curvature on a class of manifolds with dimension at least 5, arXiv: 1411.3926v1.

[22] T. Jin, Y. Y. Li, J. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 16(2014), 1111-1171.

[23] T. Jin, Y. Y. Li, J. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part II: existence of solutions, Int. Math. Res. Notices (2013), DOI: 10.1093/imrn/rnt260.

[24] T. Jin, Y. Y. Li, J. Xiong, The Nirenberg problem and its generalizations: A unified approach, arXiv:1411.5743vl.

[25] T. Jin, J. Xiong, A fractional Yamabe flow and some applications, arXiv: 1110. 5664v1

[26] J. M. Lee, T. H. Parker, The Yamabe problem, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 17(1) (1987), 37-91.

[27] Y. Y. Li, Remark on some conformally invariant integral equations: the method of moving spheres, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 6 (2004), 153-180.

[28] A. Li, Y.Y. Li, On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations. II. Liouville, Harnack and Yamabe, Acta Math. 195 (2005), 117-154.

[29] E. Lieb, Sharp constants in the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev and related inequalities, Ann. of Math. 118 (1983), 349-374.
[30] E. H. Lieb, M. Loss, Analysis, 2nd edition, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, 14. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I. 2001.
[31] Y. Ni, M. Zhu, Steady states for one dimensional curvature flows, Commun. Contemp. Math. 10(2) (2008), 155–179.
[32] Y. Ni, M. Zhu, One-dimensional conformal metric flow, Adv. Math. 218(4) (2008), 983–1011.
[33] Y. Ni, M. Zhu, One-dimensional conformal metric flow II, arXiv: 0710-4317v1.
[34] P. Yang, M. Zhu, On the Paneitz energy on standard three sphere, ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var. 10 (2004), 211-223.
[35] M. Zhu, On a prescribing integral curvature equation, preprint, 2014. arXiv:1407.2967

Yazhou Han, Department of Mathematics,College of Science, China Jiliang University, Hangzhou, 310018, China
E-mail address: `yazhou.han@gmail.com`

Meijun Zhu, Department of Mathematics, The University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019, USA
E-mail address: `mzhu@ou.edu`